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The structures of a series of four-coordinate nickel(II) complexes of the form $[\mathrm{Ni}($ sacsac $) \mathrm{L}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (sacsac $=$ pentane-2,4-dithione anion; $\left.\mathrm{L}=\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n}, n=1,2,3\right)$ have been determined. These are [bis(diphenylphosphino)methane]-(pentane-2,4-dithionato-S, $S^{\prime}$ )nickel(II) hexafluorophosphate, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \quad[1,2-$ bis(diphenylphosphino)-ethane](pentane-2,4-dithionato- $S, S^{\prime}$ )nickel(II) hexafluorophosphate, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and [1,3-bis(diphenyl-phosphino)propane](pentane-2,4-dithionato-S, $S^{\prime}$ )nickel(II) osphate, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. All have a distorted square-planar arrangement about Ni with angles around Ni varying with the length of the hydrocarbon chain.

## Comment

Although pentane-2,4-dithione (sacsac) is not stable in isolation many examples are known where the ligand is coordinated to a metal atom (Lockyer \& Martin, 1980). The bis(sacsac) $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes (Beckett \& Hoskins, 1974) both show a square-planar arrangement about the metal atom. Planar $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ mixed-ligand complexes containing phosphine and/or halide ligands have been found to act as effective catalyst precursors for olefin oligomerization and isomerization (Cavell, 1994; Cavell \& Masters, 1986). Structures of two such complexes, related to the present study, are (sacsac)(P$\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ClNi}\left(\right.$ Cavell et al., 1985) and (asacsac) $\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ClNi}$ (Cavell et al., 1984) (asacsac is 3-allylpentane-2,4-dithione), both of which have square-planar geometry.

We describe here the structures of the hexafluorophosphate salts of pentane-2,4-dithione[bis(diphenylphosphino)methane]nickel(II), (I), $[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{sacac})(\mathrm{dppm})]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ pentane-2,4-dithione[bis-1,2-(diphenylphosphino)ethane]nickel(II), (II), $[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{sacac})(\mathrm{dppe})]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ and pentane-2,4-dithione[bis-1,3-(diphenylphosphino)propane]nickel(II), (III), [Ni(sacac)(dppp) $]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$. In each case, the arrangement of the S and P
atoms about nickel is a distorted square plane with a high degree of planarity. The maximum deviation from the mean plane being $0.03 \AA$. The distortion from rectangular symmetry in the angles around the Ni atom arises from the variation of the size of the chelate ring increasing from four to six in the chelating phosphine ligand. Thus the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ angles increase from 73.52 (6) in (I) to 85.39 (5) in (II) and 89.59 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (III) as the chelate ring size increases. This variation is similar to that found for other $(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{M}$ complexes from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database (Dierkes \& van Leeuwen, 1999). The S-Ni-S angles show the opposite trend, decreasing from 101.29 (2) for (I) to 99.53 (5) in (II) and 97.22 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (III) as the strain imposed by the small chelate ring is eased.


The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are all comparable and average $2.146 \AA$ which is in good agreement with other $\mathrm{Ni}($ sacsac $)$ complexes. The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ distances show some variation, with those involving the smaller chelate ring being significantly smaller than those of the larger rings, average 2.204 for (I) and 2.228 and $2.237 \AA$ for (II) and (III), respectively. The nonbonded S-P distances are all shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii and average $3.073 \AA$, an effect also observed for the interligand $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { sacsac })_{2}$. The corresponding $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{P}$ distances in the other known phosphine complexes are comparable. An explanation for this phenomenon has been advanced in terms of the planar nature of the six-membered chelate ring formed by the sacsac ligand (Beckett \& Hoskins, 1974)
The sacsac ligands are all planar with maximum deviations from the mean plane being $0.06 \AA$ for (II). The folding along the $S-S$ vector, which has been observed for other complexes, is shown for (I) and (II) where the interplanar angles are 5.43 (6) and 7.22 (9) ${ }^{\circ}$ respectively. For (III), the ligand is coplanar with the coordination plane. The methylene C atom of the dppm ligand lies 0.649 (2) $\AA$ from the mean plane of the Ni and P atoms in (I). In (II), the five membered ring formed by the dppe ligand has the gauche conformation with the two C atoms located 0.488 (6) and 0.185 (6) $\AA$ either side of the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ plane. For (III), the six-membered ring formed by the dppe ligand has the chair conformation with the Ni atom 0.937 (2) Å below the plane defined by P1, C4 and their mirror related atoms and C5 is 0.678 (5) $\AA$ above the same plane.

## Experimental

Complexes (I), (II) and (III) were prepared as the hexafluorophosphate salts by reaction of $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { sacsac })_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the appropriate phosphine following the method described by Cavell \& Masters (1986) for the tetraphenylborate salts. Sodium hexafluorophosphate was used in place of sodium tetraphenylborate. Suitable crystals were obtained by recrystalization from acetone.

## Compound (I)

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $D_{x}=1.483 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=719.27$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ | Cell parameters from 7505 |
| $a=10.89710(10) \AA$ | reflections |
| $b=17.73670(10) \AA$ | $\theta=2-27^{\circ}$ |
| $c=16.7252(2) \AA$ | $\mu=0.936 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=94.7930(10)^{\circ}$ | $T=203(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $V=3221.32(5) \AA^{3}$ | Prism, orange |
| $Z=4$ | $0.50 \times 0.34 \times 0.28 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Data collection

Siemens SMART diffractometer
Area-detector $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.652, T_{\text {max }}=0.780$
30784 measured reflections
7145 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.030$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.083$
$S=1.014$
7145 reflections
379 parameters

## Compound (II)

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $Z=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=733.30$ | $D_{x}=1.289 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=11.0458(2) \AA$ | Cell parameters from 5722 |
| $b=13.4850(2) \AA$ | reflections |
| $c=14.5828(1) \AA$ | $\theta=2-26^{\circ}$ |
| $\alpha=67.386(1)^{\circ}$ | $\mu=0.799 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=76.105(1)^{\circ}$ | $T=203(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\gamma=72.088(1)^{\circ}$ | Irregular fragment, orange |
| $V=1889.50(5) \AA^{3}$ | $0.58 \times 0.32 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  |  |
| Data collection |  |
| Siemens SMART diffractometer | 5871 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| Area-detector $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.029$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\max }=26.16^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad$ (Blessing, 1995) | $h=-13 \rightarrow 13$ |
| $T_{\text {min }}=0.654, T_{\text {max }}=0.924$ | $k=-15 \rightarrow 16$ |
| 17129 measured reflections | $l=0 \rightarrow 18$ |
| 7347 independent reflections |  |

6130 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.018$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.43^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=0 \rightarrow 22$
$l=0 \rightarrow 21$

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0443 P)^{2}+\right.$
$1.0272 P]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.004$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.265 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.203 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.104$
$S=1.038$
7347 reflections
390 parameters

## Compound (III)

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$
$M_{r}=747.32$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / m$
$a=10.0345$ (2) A
$b=15.8632(2) \AA$
$c=10.8058$ (2) $\AA$
$\beta=94.14^{\circ}$
$V=1715.58(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
Data collection
Siemens SMART diffractometer
Area-detector $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.778, T_{\text {max }}=0.857$
15834 measured reflections 3634 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.0346$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.0952$
$S=0.998$
3634 reflections
211 parameters

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1337 P)^{2}+\right.$
4.2975P] where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.525 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.562 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$

The structures were solved by Patterson and difference Fourier techniques and refined by full-matrix least squares. H atoms were placed geometrically and refined with a riding model (including free rotation about $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds for methyl groups), and with $U_{\text {iso }}$ constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times $U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom.

Compound (III) has a mirror plane passing through the molecule and one phenyl ring shows high displacement parameters, indicative either of some disorder or deviation from true mirror symmetry. In all three complexes, the F atoms of the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$groups show high displacement parameters indicative of some disorder in these groups.

For (II), examination of the structure with PLATON (Spek, 1999) indicates the presence of a void of approximately $320 \AA^{-3}$ between the molecules. Integration of the electron density within this void corresponds to the presence of two molecules of acetone. There are a number of small peaks of less than 2 e $\AA^{-3}$ within this volume indicating that the solvent is severely disordered. Application of the 'squeeze' function of $P L A T O N$ and further refinement reduced $\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{F}<2 \sigma(\mathrm{~F})]$ from 0.0693 to 0.0359 . A final difference map, following this refinement, contained no peaks $>0.6$ e $\AA^{-3}$.

For all compounds, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure:

## electronic papers

SHELXL97 Sheldrick, (1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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